8800172

<u>TO ALL TO WHOM: THESE: PRESENTS: SHALL COME:</u>

W-U Research, Inc.

Tahereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EX-CLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT ETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT T. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

ALFALFA

'Allstar'

In Testimony Whercot, I have hexeunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Elaxt Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. 31st day of August the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-eight.

Kiland E. Fyr

Plant Variety Protection Office llural Marketing Service

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULT	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055			
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERV	Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to			
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTE (Instructions on reverse)	be Issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).			
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	3. VARIETY NAME		
W-L Research, Inc.	84-19	Allstar		
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
2000 Oak Street	S. THOIVE IMERICA AFEA COUCY	PVPO NUMBER		
Bakersfield, CA 93301	(805) 327-4491	8800172		
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7. FAMILY NA	ME (Botanical)	U DATE		
Medicago sativa L. Legumino	osae	UME 10,1988 11 UME 9:30 MAM. P.M.		
8, KIND NAME 9,	DATE OF DETERMINATION	AMOUNT FOR FILING		
Alfalfa	Nov. 2, 1984	DATE June 10 1488 AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE		
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM partnership, association, etc.)	OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation,	s 200 cm		
Corporation		July 21, 1988		
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION California 13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S),	12. DATE OF INCORPORATION Sept. 15, 1980 as amended			
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITAL. a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety (See b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety (Request form d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. e. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownershing. 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VAR SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act.) 16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	p. IF THE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME Yes (If "Yes," answer 17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, V BEYOND BREEDER SEE	E ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED teems 16 and 17 below) X No WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION D?		
Yes No	Foundation	Registered Certified		
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECT	ION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U	Yes (If "Yes," give date) No		
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED FOR SALE	, OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR	Yes (If "Yes," give names		
		of countries and dates) X No		
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seed plenished upon request in accordance with such regulations. The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this seed distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and in Variety Protection Act.	as may be applicable. xually reproduced novel plant vai	with the application and will be re- iety, and believe(s) that the variety is		
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation here	in can jeopardize protection and	result in penalties.		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT		June 6, 1988		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT		DATE 1		

Exhibit A

Origin and Breeding History of Allstar

Allstar is a synthetic variety developed through three cycles of recurrent phenotypic selection within WL 316. In cycle one, WL 316 was sequentially screened for resistance to Phytophthora root rot and bacterial wilt. Seventy-six resistant selections were intercrossed. In cycle two, this population was screened a second time for resistance to Phytophthora root rot with 220 resistant selections intercrossed. In cycle three, this population was sequentially screened for resistance to stem nematode and verticillium wilt. One hundred-seventy resistant selections were intercrossed. These 170 plants were grown under cage isolation at Warden, WA in 1984 with seed harvested as breeder seed (Syn 1).

The original source material in Allstar traces predominately to Vertus, with lesser contributions from Team and Grimm.

Type and Frequency of Variants

No variants are recognized in Allstar beyond the limits given in Exhibit C.

Evidence of Uniformity and Stability

Allstar is stable in all essential and distinguishing characteristics during normal seed production. Allstar is as uniform as other alfalfa varieties previously accepted by state seed certifying programs.

Exhibit B

Novelty Statement for Allstar

Allstar is a semi-dormant variety that possesses superior disease and insect resistance when compared to most varieties with similar fall growth characteristics. Allstar is most similar to WL 316 in growth type and appearance. However, Allstar is highly resistant to bacterial wilt (WL 316 = MR, Table 1), highly resistant to Fusarium wilt (WL 316 - R, Table 2), and highly resistant to phytophthora root rot (WL 316 - MR, Table 3). Allstar is also similar to G-2852. However, G-2852 is only resistant to Fusarium wilt (Table 2), resistant to phytophthora root rot (Table 3), and susceptible to spotted alfalfa aphid (Table 4). When compared to Commandor, Allstar is highly resistant to Fusarium wilt (Commandor - MR, Table 2), highly resistant to phytophthora root rot (Commandor = R, Table 3), and highly resistant to anthracnose (Commandor = R, Table 5). When compared to Garst 630, Allstar is highly resistant to anthracnose (630 = LR, Table 5).

1> Bacterial Wilt Resistance - Highland, MD

Entry	% Resistance	<u>A.S.I.</u>
Allstar (HR)	67	1.20
WL 316 (MR)	23	3.01
Vernal (R)	42	2.11
Narragansett (S)	0	4.14
LSD .05		0.44
CV %	•	13.1

2> Fusarium Wilt Resistance - Highland MD

Entry	% Resistance	A.S.I.
Allstar (HR)	71	1.22
WL 316 (R)	58	1.74
G-2852	49	2.14
Commandor (MR-R)	34	2.44
Agate (R)	55	1.80
MnGN-1 (S)	16	3.99
LSD (.05)	. 13	0.47
CV %		13

3> Phytophthora Root Rot Resistance - Highland, MD

Entry	% Resistance
Allstar (HR)	64
G-2852 (R)	45
Commandor (R)	40
630 (R)	35
Wl 316 (MR)	22
Agate (R)	41
Saranac (S)	2
LSD (.05)	11
CV %	24

4> Spotted Alfalfa Aphid Resistance - Bakersfield, CA

Entry	% Resistance	A.S.I.
Chief (R)	41	2.7
PRO-CUT (R)	39	2.8
Allstar (LR)	9	4.2
G-2852 (S)	4	4.3
Kanza (R)	36	3.0
Ranger (S)	0	4.9
LSD (.05)		0.3
CV %		17

5> Anthracnose Resistance - Highland, MD

Entry	% Resistance
Allstar (HR)	63
Commandor (R)	54
Chief (R)	44
PRO-CUT (MR-R)	27
630 (LR)	9
Saranac AR (R)	51
Saranac (S)	2
LSD (.05)	14
CV %	21

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

			(Medicago sativa sen					
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)			TEMPORARY D	DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME			
W-L RESEARCH, IN	c.		84-1	.9	Allsta	Allstar		
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No.	o., City, State, and Zi	p Code)			FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY			
2000 Oak		÷			PVPO NUMBER			
	ld, CA 93	301			8	800172		
PLEASE READ ALL INSTRUCTIO application variety. Data for quanti titative data. Comparative data shot e.g., The Munsell Plant Tissue Color	itative plant charac ıld be determined	ters should be based o	on a minimum of 10)() plants. Include le:	adıng zeros wnen nec	essary (e.g., 0 0	1 9) IOI quair-	
3 = 5 = 7 = 9 =	Very Non-Winterhar Intermediately Non- (Du Puits) (Ranger) Extremely Winterha	Winterhardy (Mesilla)	4 = Semi-Winter 6 = Moderately \ 8 = Winterhardy	nardy (Moapa 69) hardy (Lahontan), r Winterhardy (Saranac) (Vernal)	-			
2. FALL DORMANCY:	F	ALL DORMANCY (D	ETERMINED FRO					
				REGROWTH SCORE	OR AVERAGE HEIGH		LSD .05	
TESTING INSTITUTION AND LOCATION	DATE OF LAST CUT	DATE REGROWTH SCORED	APPLICATION	G =		CHECK VARIETIES*		
			VARIETY	Saranac	WL 316	Dupuits	1 2	
W-L Research, Inc. Warden, WA	9/16/87	10/15/87	9.1	7.8	8.3	10.2	1.3	
5 Fall Growth Habit (Determ	Erect (CUF 101) Semidecumbent (Ve CUT (In Southwest (CUF 101) v (Norseman)	3 = Serr 9 = Dec , first cut after March 21	nierect (Mesilla) rumbent (Norseman)	5 = Intermediat	te (Saranac)	7 = Slow (Vernal)		
4. AREAS OF ADAPTATION IN U.S. (Where tested and pro	ven adapted):						
Primary Area of Adaptation 1 = North Cei	n - ntral ly Winterhardy Intern	2 = East Central	3 = So 6 = Winterhardy In	outheast	ther Areas of Adaptation 4 = Southwest 7 = Great Plains		3	
5. FLOWERING DATE (When 10% of p	4	owers at time of first spri		2 = Mesitla	3 = Saranac 4	1 = Vernal 5 =	· Norseman	
0 2 Days Later Than	TEST LOCATION	High	land, MD				9	

6. PLANT COLOR (Determined f						Munga	all Co Tag
2 1 = Very Dark Green		2 = Dark Green (Munsell		3= Light Green (R cts, lst ed		i i	ell Co., Inc.
	LUE (Specify chart used; _ 5/6		00101 01101	, 100 00):
APPLICATION VAE	5/6	(WL 31	5 = 4/4				
TEST LOCATION:	Highland, N			s taken Jun	e 10,]	Leafhoppers	controlled with
7. CROWN TYPE (Determined							insecticide
2 Noncreeping Typ			2 = Intermediate (Sa		= Narrow (CU	3F 101)	
Creeping Types:	4 = Creeping	Rooted (Rangela	nder)	5 = Rhizomatous	(Rhizoma)		
8. FLOWER COLOR (Determin	e frequency of plants for each old (Subclasses 1.1 to 1.4)	ach color class as	defined by USDA Ag	ricultural Handbook I			plants in plot to flower):
1 5 % Variegated Oth	ner Than Blue (Subclasses 2	2.1, 2.2, 2.5 to 2.5	9)	2 % Yellow (Sub	classes 4.1 to 4	1.4)	
2 % Cream (Class 3	Nampa, TD	• •		0 % White (Class	5)		
-							
9. POD SHAPE (Determine frequency of 1 0 0 % Tightly Coiled				Πİ			
% Sickle (Less the	(One or more coils, center an 1 coil)	more or tess clos	ed/	TEST LOCATI	Nar	re coils, center conspi	cuousiy open)
							generation tested, average severity
evaluat	ion. Describe scoring syste	m, and any test	rocedure which diffe	rs from standard meth			whether test is a field or laboratory I data from other test years or
Seeds o		rmplasm lines lis	ted below can be obta	nined from the USDA			Rm. 335, BARC-West, Beltsville, MD
20705. present		th check varieties	listed below are prefe	erred, comparisons wi	th any approp	riate check variety rec	ommended by Elgin (1982) may be
A. DISEASE RESISTANCE: DISEASE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Anthracnose, Race 1 (Colletotrichum trifolii)	Application	Syn. 1	66	328		% Resis.	W-L Research, Inc
(HR)	Are-(R)− Saran	ac AR	50	310		LSD (.05)	1985 Highland, MD
	Saranac (S)		2	315		13	
	SCORING SYSTEM:	% resis	tance base	d on survi	vors		
Anthracnose, Race 2 (Collectotrichum trifolii)	Application						
	Saranac AR (R)						
	Arc (S)						e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Descript MCIa				I		[
Bacterial Wilt (Corynebacterium insidiosum)	Application	Syn. 1	62	177	1.5		W-L Research, Inc.
(HR)	Vernal (R)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	37	185	2.4	0.50	1985 Highland, MD
	Narragansett (S)		4	186	4.2		
	scoring system: Plants scor	red 0 and	d l conside	ered resist	tant on	scale of	(0 = no disease) 0-5 5 = dead plant
Common Leafspot (Pseudopeziza medicaginis)	Application						
	MSA-CW3AN3 (R)						
	Ranger (S)	1					
	SCORING SYSTEM:						10

10. A. PEST RESISTANCE (C	ontinuea):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PERCENT			T	
DISEASE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum)	Application						* *
Isolate, if known:	Saranac (R)						
·	- Kanza (S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Fusarium Wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f. medicaginis)	Application	Syn. 1	69	162	1.25		W-L Research, I
(HR)	мозра сетп Ад	ate (R)	57	174	1.90	0.46	1985 Highland,
	Norragansett (FI) M	nGN-1 (S)	16	166	3.94		
	SCORING SYSTEM: Plants SCO	red 0-5,	with thos	e rated 0 a	nd 1 cc	onsidered r	dea cesistant, 5 = pla
Phytophthora Root Rot (Phytophthora megasperma f. medicaginis)	Application	Syn. 1	70	230		% Resis.	W-L Research, In 1985 Highland, I
	Agate (R)		44	217		LSD (.05)	1 -
(HR)	Saranac (S)		3	226		10	
	scoring system: % Resista	ance base	d on surv	ivors			
Verticillium Wilt (Verticillium alboatrum)	Application	Syn. 1	32	310	3.1		W-L Research, I
(R)	Vertus (R)		38	294	2.8	0.4	1985 Warden, WA
	Saranac (S)		6	299	4.2		
	scoring system: Plants scor	red 1-5;	with those	e rated 1+2	consid	ered resis	tant, 5 = dead pla
Other (Specify)	Application						
	(R)						
	(S)	-					
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Other (Specify)	Application	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· ·	(R)	<u> </u>					
	(S)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>		
	SCORING SYSTEM:	<u>-</u>				1	<u> </u>
NSECT RESISTANCE:	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT DEFOLIATION	DEFOLIATION IN PERCENT OF RESISTANT CHECK	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Alfalfa Weevil Hypera postica)	Application						
	Arc (R)			100			
	Saranac (S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:	·		<u> </u>			<u> </u>

INSECT	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT SEEDLING SURVIVAL	NUMBER OF SEEDLINGS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION, FIELD OR LABORATORY
Blue Alfalfa Aphid (Acyrthosiphon kondoi)	Application				<u> </u>		
	CUF 101 (R)						
	PA-1 (S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Pea Aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum)	Application	Syn. 1	58	202	2.2		W-L Research, In
(R)	Kanza (R)		38	208	2.9	0.5	1984 Bakersfield, CA
	Ranger (S)		4	235	4.6		
	scoring system: Plants sc	ored 1-5,	1 + 2's	resistant,	5 = dea	d plant	
Spotted Alfalfa Aphid (Theriosphis maculata)	Application	Syn. 1	9	171	4.2		W-L Research, In
Biotype, if known: (H)	Kanza (R)		36	166	3.0	0.3	1984 Bakersfield, CA
(LR)	Ranger (S)		0	155	4.9		
	scoring system: Plants sc	ored 1-5;	1 + 2 re	sistant, 5	= dead.		
INSECT	VARIETY	SYN, GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	A\$I .	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Potato Leafhopper Yellowing (Empoasca fabae)	Application						
	MSA-CW3An3 (F)						
	Ranger (S)					1	
	SCORING SYSTEM:						
Other (Specify)	Application						
	(R)		. ·				
and the second s	(s)			·	·		
	SCORING SYSTEM:		·				
NEMATODE RESISTANCE:	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	. ASI	ASI LSD ,05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION
Northern Root Knot (Meloidogyne hapla)	Application	Syn. 2	. 28	222	2.2		W-L Research, Ir
(MR)	Nev. Syn. XX (R)		57	203	1.5	0.7	1986 Warden, WA
Jan 16	Lahontan (S)		5	213	3.0	}	
E 58 E	scoring system: Plants sco		3	. ,	7.7 \ 4		

FORM LS-470-32 (4:85)

NEMATODE	VARIETY	SYN. GEN. TESTED	PERCENT RESISTANT PLANTS	NUMBER OF PLANTS TESTED	ASI	ASI LSD .05	INSTITUTION, YEAR, LOCATION FIELD OR LABORATORY
Southern Root Knot (Meloidogyne incognita)	Application						
	Moapa 69 (R)						
	Lahontan (S)						
	SCORING SYSTEM:					J	
Stem Nematode (Ditylenchus dipsaci)	Application	Syn. 1	43	185	2.8		W-L Research, Inc
(R)	Lahontan (R)		52	194	2.7	0.3	Warden, WA
	Ranger (S)		5	206	4.2		
	scoring system: Plants so	cored 1-5,	1 + 2 = :	resistant,	5 = dea	d	
Other (Specify)	Application						
	(R)				,		
	(8)		,				
	SCORING SYSTEM:			<u> </u>			<u>. </u>

CHARACTER	VARIETY	CHARACTER	VARIETY
Winterhardiness	WL 316	Plant Color	WL 316
Recovery After 1st Cut	G-2852	Crown Type	WL 316
Area of Adaptation	WL 316	Combined Disease Resistance	PRO-CUT
Flowering Date	WL 316	Combined Insect Resistance	G-2852

REFERENCES

Barnes, D.K. 1972. A System for Visually Classifying Alfalfa Flower Color. U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 424. 18 pp. (Note: Greenish cast of plate 6, A and B is an artifact of printing, actual colors a blend of yellow and white.)

Elgin, J.H., Jr., (ed.). 1982. Standard Tests to Characterize Pest Resistance in Alfalfa Cultivars. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. (In Press).

Gunn, C.R., W.H. Skrdla, and H.C. Spencer. 1978. Classification of Medicago sativa L. using legume characters and flower colors. U.S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 1574. 84 pp.

Munsell Color Co., 1977. Munsell Plant Tissue Color Charts. Munsell Color Co., inc. Baltimore.

NOTE: Any additional descriptive information and supporting documentation may be provided as Exhibit D.

Exhibit D

Additional Description of Variety

Allstar is a semi-dormant variety that is adapted for use in the northeastern, northcentral, and northwestern U.S. Mid-summer growth is erect with semi-erect fall growth, similar to Saranac. The estimated germplasm source contributions are M. varia - 15%, Turkistan - less than 2%, Flemish - 79%, Chilean - 3%, and a trace of M. falcata and Ladak.

To maintain varietal integrity, foundation seed of Allstar must be produced above 40° N. latitude or in areas where equivalent temperature extremes result from increased elevation. No limitation is placed on areas for certified seed production.

Exhibit E

Statement of Applicant's Ownership

Allstar is a proprietary alfalfa variety developed by the plant breeding staff of W-L Research, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Tejon Ranch Co. Allstar is the sole property of W-L Research, Inc., 2000 Oak Street, Bakersfield, CA 93301.

Applications have not been filed for protection of Allstar in any countries other than the United States.